Mental Health Board – FY 25 – Opioid Settlement Funds Proposal Rubric

The Mental Health Board utilizes the Proposal Rubric as a guide to reaching Opioid funding allocations.

Funds allocated by the MHB shall be used to contract for mental health, substance abuse, and developmental disability services. The MHB will be addressing Opioid Use Disorder treatment and recovery services via the approved abatement program with the opioid settlement funds for McHenry County residents pursuant to the authority contained in the Community Mental Health Act, ILCS, Chapter 405, Act 20, Section 0.1, et.seq.

Proposal Category	Compliance	Substantially	Moderately	Minimally
	Criterion	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
1. Agency Description Describe how the following components are in place throughout the agency: a. Risk Management Practices b. Multiple Revenue Streams c. Adequate staffing for proposed program d. Agency is Accredited, Licensed and/or Certified e. Cultural Competency-f. Consumer Satisfaction	The agency is accredited, licensed, and/or certified and has the capabilities to deliver funded services based on leadership and stability. There is evidence to support multiple funding streams, risk management practices, cultural competency, consumer voice, and effective use of technology. Staffing ratios are adequate for number of clients served.	The agency is accredited, licensed, and/or certified and has multiple funding streams as well as evidenced documentation of providing culturally competent and consumer driven services. Agency shows strong leadership and significant investment, fulfilling all of the components of the proposal.	The agency is working toward accreditation, licensure, and/or certification or has operating policies and procedures in place. Key values are demonstrated. The agency has begun to demonstrate evidence or has demonstrated evidence of diverse funding streams, cultural competency and consumer voice. Agency demonstrates knowledge of risk management practices.	The agency is not considering accreditation, licensing, and/or certification and lacks operating policies and procedures. The agency has demonstrated minimal evidence of operational capacity. The agency does not clearly define its adherence to MHB priorities or risk management practices.
2. Service/Program/Project Description a. Indicate alignment with the MHB 3 Year Plan, Mission, and Community Mental Health Act b. Aligns with Approved Abatement Program list c. Evidence why the identified community need warrants funding? d. Program clearly defines the target population(s) served and coinciding level of urgency e. New innovation fills gap	Program description should align with the priorities Prevention, Treatment and Recovery of the MHB 3-year plan, mission, and Community Mental Health Act identifying specific target populations and community needs. Program demonstrates promotion of community wellness and access by clearly defining the urgency level. Program is listed on approved abatement program list	Program's description is clear and indicates strong alignment with MHB priorities. Program clearly defines impact. Program clearly demonstrates effective strategies to improve prevention, treatment, and/or recovery efforts of target population(s). Program, project, service need is substantiated with data and urgency level. Entire program is on the approved "abatement program list."	Some indication of a defined project description but not well aligned with MHB priorities. Program vaguely or insufficiently outlines its impact on the target population(s). Program minimally demonstrates strategies to improve prevention, coordination, treatment, and/or recovery efforts with data. Urgency level is stated. Most of program is on the approved abatement program list.	Program's description is obvious but not clearly stated and/or not aligned with MHB priorities. Program does not demonstrate that it promotes strategies to improve, prevention, coordination, treatment, and/or recovery efforts of target populations(s). Urgency level appears inappropriate. Parts of program are on the approved abatement program list

3. Service, Program, Project	Program defines key	The program clearly	The method of data	The program does not clearly
Outcomes	outcome domains that are	demonstrates ability to	collection, tools or processes	identify the method of data
a. Are the key outcomes being	measurable and	conduct outcomes	are partially stated or not all	collection, tools or processes
measured appropriate for	demonstrate effectiveness	measurement. Reporting tools	are in place. Evidence-based	in place to accurately
the population served?	for the population served.	and processes are clearly	or best practices are utilized.	measure outcomes. Systems
b. Agency ability to accurately	Outcomes are achieved	defined. Evidence- based	The second contract of	are unclear or
capture outcome data with	through utilization of	practices are clearly utilized.		underdeveloped. The
tools and processes in place	evidence-based practice(s)	Measurement tools and		program does not utilize
c. Utilization of Evidence-based	and fidelity to models of	timeframes are outlined.		evidence-based practices.
Practice(s)	care. Systems and tools			Traches suses practices:
d. Outcome ranking as compared to	3			
other network providers.	are in place.			
e. Compliance with data outcome				
reporting & Network meeting				
attendance				
4. Service/Program/Project	The budget is realistic	The budget is clearly stated	The budget is clearly stated	The budget is not clearly
Budget	and cost effective. In	and reasonably cost efficient.	with evidence of alternative	stated and or management
Describe the budget and answer these		There is evidence of alternative	funding, but cost efficiency is	cost is above 20%.
areas:	complemented by equity	funding streams. Agency	not addressed or is	Insufficient documentation of
a. Management /general % rate if	or another source this	followed budget directions.	questionable. The program	complementary funding.
applicable	should be documented.	Direct and Indirect costs	appears to be serving a	Unduplicated number of
b. Sources of complementary	Direct and Indirect costs	appear reasonable.	minimal number of clients for	clients appears
funding	are clearly stated.		the total cost requested.	unsubstantiated. Agency did
c. Indirect Cost Allocation Plan	,		Agency followed most budget	not follow budget directions.
included (if applicable)			directions. Direct and Indirect	Direct and Indirect costs are
d. Agency submitted a Fee			costs are not fully	inadequately substantiated.
Schedule			substantiated.	1 3
e. Company is solvent and not at				
risk - Debt ratio and Days Cash				
On Hand good				
5. Agency Continued Funding	Repeat applicants should	Applicant meets or exceeds	Applicant meets baseline the	Applicant has been unable to
a. Compliance history	demonstrate a history of	baseline program outcomes,	program outcomes and is	effectively resolve difficulties
b. Collaboration Initiatives	contract compliance,	has expanded or enhanced	contract compliant in some	in meeting program outcomes
c. Network Council/Quality	collaboration with	program services, and is	but not all areas.	requirements and is unable to
Management Participant	program partners, and	contract compliant in most if	Program is moderately aligned	demonstrate consistent
d. Reporting Compliance	program impact	not all areas. Personnel listings	with MHB priorities.	contract compliance. Program
e. Copies of audits and optional	consistent with MHB	including credentials are	1	is minimally aligned with
review of compliance reports	priorities. Staff is	complete. Program is clearly		MHB priorities.
are received	competent to provide	aligned with MHB priorities.		
f. Program is clearly aligned	service and supported	<u> </u>		
with MHB priorities	with a supervision model.			
g. Utilization of previous				
funding awards is on target				